

EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 796 CUTTACK, SATURDAY, JUNE 20, 2009/JAISTHA 30, 1931

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 6th June 2009

No. 5094–li/1(SS)-41/2000(Pt.)-L E.–In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 16th March 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 9 of 2002 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s IDL Industries Ltd., at Sonaparbat, Rourkela-769016, Dist. Sundargarh and its Workman Shri B. B. Tripathy, C/o B. B. Sahu, At Bhanja Colony, P.O. Sonaparbat, Rourkela-16, Dist. Sundargarh was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 9 of 2002 Dated the 16th March 2009

Present:

Smt. Suchismita Misra, LL.м.,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur.

Between:

The Management of ... First Party—Management M/s IDL Industries Ltd., At Sonaparbat

Rourkela-769 016, Dist. Sundargarh.

And

Its Workman ... Second Party—Workman

Shri B. B. Tripathy,

C/o B. B. Sahu

At Bhanja Colony, P. O. Sonaparbat,

Rourkela-16, Dist. Sundargarh.

Appearances:

For the First Party—Management ... Shri G. Pujari, representative of Management.

For the Second Party—Workman ... None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them under Section 12, read with Clause (c) of the sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short "the Act") have referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Court:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Bibhuti Bhusan Tripathy by the management of M/s IDL Industries Ltd., Sonaparabat, Rourkela-16 with effect from the 1st November 1998 is legal and/or justified? If not, what relief Shri Tripathy is entitled to?".

2. In the instant proceeding the second party workman and the first party management though filed their pleadings i. e. the claim statement and written statement respectively yet they did not take any step for commencement of hearing of the case. They also did not appear before the Court despite notices being sent to them. From this it could be safely inferred that either the workman at present has no dispute with the management or their dispute has been settled between them amicably outside the Court in the meantime. Accordingly an award of no dispute is passed in so far as the reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

SUCHISMITA MISRA 16-3-2009 Presiding Officer Labour Court, Sambalpur

SUCHISMITA MISRA 16-3-2009 Presiding Officer Labour Court, Sambalpur

By order of the Governor K. C. BASKE

Under-Secretary to Government